



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/717,966	11/21/2000	Martijn Johannes Lambertus Emons	PHN 17,746 ,	9680	
24737	7590 05/24/2004		EXAMINER		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			CAO, CHUN		
P.O. BOX 30 BRIARCLIF	01 F MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Did itobir			2115	15	
			DATE MAILED: 05/24/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/717,966	EMONS, MARTIJN LAMBERTUS	JOHANNES
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chun Cao	2115	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 04 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP
tee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officially filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: None.			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>1,2,4-10,12-14 and 16-19</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>3,11,15</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app.	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			
10. Other:		HOMAS LEE	
<u>-</u>	SUPERVISOR	RY PATENT EXAMINE OGY CENTER 2100	R
	\bigcap	made	_

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)





Continuation of 2. NOTE: the newly added limitations inter alia, such as "the second data processing unit utilizes the memory belonging to the first data processing unit instead of its own memory" that requires further consideration/search..

THOMAS LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100